Statistical moments of power spectrum: a fast tool for the classification of seismic events recorded on volcanoes
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Abstract. Spectral analysis has been applied to almost thousand seismic events recorded at Vesuvius volcano (Naples, southern Italy) in 2018 with the aim to test a new tool for a fast event classification. We computed two spectral parameters, central frequency and shape factor, from the spectral moments of order 0, 1, and 2, for each event at seven seismic stations taking the mean among the three components of ground motion. The analyzed events consist of volcano-tectonic earthquakes, low frequency events and unclassified events (landslides, rockfall, thunders, quarry blasts, etc.). Most of them are of low magnitude, and/or low maximum signal amplitude, therefore the signal to noise ratio is very different between the low noise summit stations and the higher noise stations installed at low elevation around the volcano. The results of our analysis show that volcano-tectonic earthquakes, low frequency events and unclassified events (landslides, rockfall, thunders, quarry blasts, etc.). Most of them are of low magnitude, and/or low maximum signal amplitude, therefore the signal to noise ratio is very different between the low noise summit stations and the higher noise stations installed at low elevation around the volcano. The results of our analysis show that volcano-tectonic earthquakes and low frequency events are easily distinguishable through the spectral moments values, particularly at seismic stations closer to the epicenter. On the contrary, unclassified events show the spectral parameters values distributed in a broad range which overlap both the volcano-tectonic earthquakes and the low frequency events. Since the computation of spectral parameters is extremely easy and fast for a detected event, it may become an effective tool for event classification in observatory practice.

1 Introduction

Active volcanoes are complex systems characterized by heterogeneous geological structure and by a variety of phenomena that may generate seismic waves. Therefore, seismic signals recorded in volcanic areas may have a broad range of features due to the different type of sources and to the wave propagation in heterogeneous media. Internal sources produce volcano-tectonic (VT) earthquakes, low frequency (LF), long period (LP) events and volcanic tremor, while external sources include landslides, rockfalls, thunders and other atmospheric phenomena. Furthermore, artificial sources such as quarry blasts and other events related to human activity often produce transient seismic signals detected by the monitoring seismic networks. Over the years a considerable number of detected events that are not VT earthquakes remained unclassified due to their unclear waveform and spectral features. Recognize seismic events, discriminate between natural and artificial sources, and classify them based on the recorded waveforms are the main task of a volcanological observatory. This is particularly important in the cases of dormant volcanoes, where seismicity may be weak and sporadic, in alert-status volcanoes, where any changes in the seismicity features may reveal an evolution of the volcanic system, and in densely populated areas, where transient seismic signals of artificial origin could be confused with natural seismic events and vice versa. A quick classification of weak seismic signals in some cases may result impossible for automatic systems and difficult even for expert personnel. This may happen for many reasons, such as the
The low number of seismic stations that record the event, the low signal to noise ratio, the occurrence of many events with a very short time separation, and so on.

The three volcanic areas of Campania region (southern Italy), Mt. Vesuvius, Campi Flegrei complex and Ischia island, are characterized by very high population density. The real time monitoring of these active volcanoes is very important for civil protection purposes, but the classification of weak seismic events often is difficult due to the high background noise and to the many artificial sources of transient signals. Here we analyze one year of seismicity at Mt. Vesuvius to test new methods that could help a quick classification of seismic events. We choose Vesuvius because it shows the most abundant seismicity of many different types among the three active volcanoes. During the last decades the seismicity of Vesuvius has been characterized by hundreds of low-magnitude VT earthquakes recorded every year (D’Auria et al., 2013), low frequency events (Bianco et al., 2005; Cusano et al., 2013; La Rocca and Galluzzo, 2016) and many events of other types (landslides, rockfalls, artificial explosions, unclassified). Esposito et al. (2013) count about 21 000 seismic events of local origin recorded in the period 2007–2011 classified as follows: 54 % are local earthquakes, 30 % are produced by artificial explosions in local quarries, 11 % are associated with thunders and other unknown source events and 5 % are attributed to small local landslides and rockfalls occurred inside and around the top of the crater. Detailed study of the natural seismicity recorded during the last two decades allowed for the identification of previously unrecognized LF events characterized by source depth greater than 6 km b.s.l. (La Rocca and Galluzzo, 2016). This type of seismicity was located, classified and studied taking advantage from the use of array data (La Rocca and Galluzzo, 2014) in addition to an accurate analysis of the signals recorded by the local seismic network. The used methodologies provided detailed results regarding deep LF events by estimating characteristics and position of the corresponding sources. Unfortunately, this kind of analysis requires expertise and working time that usually are beyond the task and schedule required to people involved in the real time monitoring of active volcanoes.

Understanding the origin of the low frequency signals observed on active volcanoes (LF events and volcanic tremor) is of fundamental importance because this type of seismicity often precede eruptions and, therefore, it can be indicative for evaluating the potential eruption of a volcano (Chouet, 2003). For this reason, an efficient classification of the seismic events recorded on volcanoes can be achieved only taking into account both the signal shape in time domain, and their spectral characteristics (Chouet, 1996; McNutt, 2005; Zobin, 2017). In the last years, statistical moments have been used mainly in the analysis of signals in time domain, such as the kurtosis for automatic phase picking (Baillard et al., 2014), while spectral features jointly with other parameters have been considered in an automatic classification scheme to identify volcanic signals typologies (Sherburn, 1998; Esposito et al., 2006; Ibs-von Seht, 2008; Malfante et al., 2018). In this work we propose the characterization of power spectra by two parameters obtained from statistical moments evaluation: central frequency and shape factor. The aim is to provide a raw analysis tool of fast application on real time signals, or to be computed in a few seconds once the seismic event has been detected, in order to be effective for the classification of seismic events in observatory practice. The proposed approach has been tested by analyzing almost a thousand seismic events recorded at Vesuvius volcano in 2018.

2 Dataset

The seismicity recorded at Mt. Vesuvius in 2018 is particularly rich of both natural and artificial types of events. The seismic catalogue of Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV) Osservatorio Vesuviano contains 976 events detected in Mt. Vesuvius area that include 925 VT earthquakes (−1 ≤ MD ≤ 2.5), 12 LF events and other 39 unclassified events. For this work we selected the recordings of all events of the year 2018 at seven broad band seismic stations of the mobile seismic network of INGV – Osservatorio Vesuviano. These stations work offline in a continuous acquisition mode and provide data used for detailed seismological analyses and monitoring purposes (La Rocca and Galluzzo, 2015). Figure 1 shows the position of seismic stations and the epicenters of VT earthquakes analyzed in this work. LF, landslides and unclassified events are not located, therefore they are not shown in Fig. 1. Figure 2 shows a vertical cross section of the volcano with the source position of VT earthquakes, the seismogenic volume where LF events likely occur (from La Rocca and Galluzzo, 2016), and the summit area where landslides and rockfall occur, both inside and outside of the crater. Seismic signals from the stand alone instruments are characterized by high dynamic range, high sensitivity of broad band sensors (Guralp CMG 40T and Lennartz LE3D 20s) and higher continuity of seismic records compared with telemetered stations.

3 Methodology of analysis: statistical moments of seismic power spectrum

We have characterized each seismic event by estimating spectral parameters as central frequency and shape factor of power spectrum (Kramer, 1996) for each station individually. The first three statistical moments of seismic power spectrum, $\lambda_n$, are evaluated through the relationships:

$$\lambda_n = \int_{f_1}^{f_2} G \cdot f^n df$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2$ (1)

where for $n = 0, 1, 2$ we have the zero-, first- and second-moment, respectively, of seismic power spectrum $G$. The integration is performed in frequency domain in the $f_1$–$f_2$ range.
The monitoring seismic network operating on Mt. Vesuvius during 2018. The cyan triangles indicate the position of stand-alone seismic stations used in this work while blue symbols show the position of permanent network stations. The yellow circles are the epicenters of the volcano-tectonic events occurred in 2018, with size proportional to magnitude. The position of Mt. Vesuvius in Italy is shown in the inset map.

From the three statistical moments we calculated two spectral parameters for each seismic event, i.e. the central frequency $\Omega$ and shape factor $\delta$, according to the relationships:

$$\Omega = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_0}} \text{ (Hz)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\delta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_0}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The power spectrum $G$ was computed on signal windows of three different lengths (3, 4 and 5 seconds) around the maximum amplitude (which corresponds to S-wave phase) to check the stability of results. Statistical moments were evaluated through Eq. (1) with integration limits of 2 and 40 Hz. The choice of the S-wave window signal is due to the need to calculate the spectral parameters on the part of the signal characterized by the maximum signal/noise ratio. In this way the calculated spectral features characterize as much as possible the source contribution with respect to the propagation and possibly site contributions. It’s known that the site effect contribution is negligible for the stations located in the summit part of the volcano (Galluzzo et al., 2009) and that the frequency content of the local seismicity is due mainly to source than propagation contribution (La Rocca and Galluzzo, 2016). The power spectrum parameters of Eqs. (2) and (3) were evaluated for each seismic event at any stations taking the mean value among the three components of ground motion. The central frequency $\Omega$ is a measure of the frequency where the signal power is higher, while the shape factor indicates the dispersion of the power spectral density about the central frequency (Vanmarcke, 1976). The shape factor $\delta$ takes values between 0 and 1, with higher values corresponding to larger bandwidths. The lower integration limit in Eq. (1) is important to reduce the effects of low frequency seismic noise (wind, sea, etc. which could be predominant at frequency lower than 1–2 Hz) in the seismic signals of low magnitude events. The upper integration limit is required to take into account that the bandwidth of signals sampled at 100 sps usually is reliable up to 40 Hz, and also because some seismic sensors are characterized by flat response up to 40 Hz (Guralp CMG 40 T). Examples of seismic signals and their spectra for two different types of earthquakes are shown in Fig. 3. The green, magenta and red signals show the waveform window (left) and the corresponding spectra (right) of VT events (from top: $M_D 2.5$, $M_D 0.3$), seismic noise and LF event, respectively. Starting from top, we have central frequencies equal to 12.6 Hz, 13.3 Hz for two VT earthquakes, 7.6 Hz for seismic noise and 4.2 Hz for LF event. Shape factors are equal to 0.44 and 0.50 for VT events, 0.69 for noise and 0.49 for LF event. As expected, the $M_D 0.3$ VT event shows an higher central frequency compared with the $M_D 2.5$ magnitude earthquake. Seismic noise shows a central frequency higher than values found for earthquakes due to the greater spreading of the noise energy within the investigated range of frequency. The LF event shows a central frequency very close to the main frequency of its spectrum and a shape factor comparable or slightly higher than VT earthquakes.
Figure 3. Local seismic events recorded at BKSG station (left, Z component) and their amplitude spectra (right). From top to bottom 2 VT earthquakes (2018-10-16 01:07:09 $M_D$ 2.5, 2018-01-29 17:32:58 $M_D$ 0.3, green), seismic noise (magenta) and LF event (red) are shown. The green, magenta and red time windows (left side) indicate the signal used for amplitude spectrum evaluation (right side). In the upper-right corners of the amplitude spectra figures, the corresponding values of central frequency and shape factor are shown.

Table 1. Spectral parameters range for VT and LF events evaluated for all the selected stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>VT events</th>
<th>LF events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central frequency $\Omega$ (Hz)</td>
<td>Shape factor $\delta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKSG</td>
<td>8–18</td>
<td>0.25–0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKWG</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>0.25–0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVAG</td>
<td>6–20</td>
<td>0.3–0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNTG</td>
<td>7–22</td>
<td>0.3–0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLS2</td>
<td>7–22</td>
<td>0.2–0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAL2</td>
<td>4–24</td>
<td>0.4–0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVT2</td>
<td>6–24</td>
<td>0.2–0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Results

The spectral parameters obtained from the analysis of all events are shown in Fig. 4 (BKSG and BKWG stations), Fig. 5 (SVAG, SNTG, and VLS2 stations) and Fig. 6 (FAL2 and SVT2 stations). We have plotted the shape factor $\delta$ versus central frequency $\Omega$ with different symbols and colors for each event type. The size of symbols is representative of the maximum signal amplitude for VT, LF earthquakes and unclassified events.

Figure 4 shows the results obtained for BKSG and BKWG, which are the two summit stations closer to the epicenters and characterized by the lowest noise level among the seven used sites. It’s clear that the representation of seismicity through central frequency and shape factor groups different types of events into distinct areas of the graph. Specifically Fig. 4a shows:

- VT earthquakes (yellow squares) are distributed in a broad range of central frequency, between 10 and 20 Hz. The most energetic events are characterized by shape factor between 0.2 and 0.4, while the lower magnitude events (smaller yellow squares) have higher values of shape factor and lower central frequency. Both these effects are a consequence of the lower signal-to-noise ratio that characterizes smaller events. In fact, as the signal-to-noise decreases, the increasing contribution of the seismic noise in the analyzed signal pulls the central frequency toward lower values and increases the shape factor, which are the typical features of seismic noise.

- LF events (red circles) are characterized by central frequency in the range [4–7 Hz] and shape factor in the range [0.4–0.6].

- Unclassified events (likely corresponding to landslides, atmospheric events, artificial explosions, etc.) identified
Figure 4. Average shape factor vs central frequency evaluated for BKSG (a) and BKWG (b) stations. LF events, unclassified events and VT earthquakes are shown with red circles, blue diamonds and yellow squares, respectively. The size of symbol is indicative of the signals maximum amplitude in ground velocity. The visualized results are obtained by averaging on the three components of motion.

by blue diamonds have values of central frequency and shape factor that span both the ranges of VT earthquakes and LF events.

Same features are observed for BKWG site (Fig. 4b), but due to the lack of recorded LF data, they are less clear than that detected at BKSG station.

For the stations located on the northern sector of the volcano (SVAG, VLS2 and SNTG, Fig. 5), LF seismic events mainly show comparable features with shape factor in the range [0.3–0.6] and central frequency between 3 and 6 Hz, with few cases of Ω > 6 Hz at SVAG. VT events are characterized by central frequency in the range 8–26 Hz and shape factor between 0.3 and 0.5. Unclassified events have features similar to more noisy earthquakes (shape factor greater than 0.6 and frequency range between 7 and 28 Hz). The results obtained for the station FAL2 and SVT2 (Fig. 6) located in the most dense inhabited area are less clustered. SVT2 is the site characterized by the highest background noise among the seven stations used in our analysis. The unclassified events and the few LF events recorded at this station are hardly recognized in the seismograms, thus their spectral parameters are confused within the cloud of points that shows VT earthquakes.

5 Discussion and conclusion

The seismic waveform in time domain and its amplitude spectrum are the result of source, path and site effects. In the past years several techniques have been used to analyze
separately each contribution, and strong attention has been
given to the study of the source scaling of VT earthquakes at
Mt. Vesuvius (Galluzzo et al., 2009). In the present work we
try a step forward in the analysis of seismic signals through
the estimation of spectral parameters obtained from statisti-
cal moments of the power spectrum. The aim of this analy-
sis is an aid to the classification of seismic events recorded
at Mt. Vesuvius. The procedure was applied to 976 seismic
events that include several LF events occurred in 2018. LF
events deserve particular attention in areas of high volcanic
risk because their source may be an important marker of the
volcanic activity at depth. The results of our analysis show
that for less noisy stations in the summit area of the volcano,
that are also closer to the epicenter, LF events have well dis-
tinct characteristics in terms of central frequency and shape
factor compared with VT earthquakes. LF events have cen-
ter frequencies primarily between 4 and 6 Hz and a power
spectrum shape factor between 0.4 and 0.6. These character-
istics are evident at most of the stations located at altitudes
greater than 300 m above sea level where the inhabited cen-
ters are more sparse. Being LF signals characterized by low
amplitude, they are not easily distinguished from VT in the
results obtained for stations installed at low elevation around
the volcano, characterized by a higher background noise. VT
earthquakes have center frequency in a wider range, typically
greater than 6–7 Hz and up to 20 Hz or more. The shape
factor is mostly in the range from 0.3 to 0.6, wider than
LF events. The spectral parameters of unclassified events in
many cases are spread in a wide range of both central fre-
quency and shape factor. In addition, noisy records are char-
acterized by higher values of shape factor due to the spread-
ing of spectral energy in a wide range of frequency.

The significant finding of our study concerns the possibil-
ity of identifying LF events at the less noisy stations where
these events show very similar characteristics in terms of
spectral power at all the recording sites. This is probably due
to the fact that these events are produced by sources deeper
than VT earthquakes, therefore the seismic waves arrive with
characteristics more similar to the different stations. This as-
pect is not evident for VT earthquakes, for which some dif-
fences between the stations are clear (Table 1). These dif-
fences are explained through the combination of two rea-
sons. First, for shallow sources (at most 2 km depth) the seis-
mic radiation can be more different among the various sites
due to the wave propagation in the shallower structure of the
volcano, likely more heterogeneous than the deeper structure
(Del Pezzo et al., 2013). Second, very often site effects are
more important for the spectrum modification at higher fre-
quency than they are at lower frequency.

In Fig. 7 we show again the results obtained for BKSG
station, which is one of the lowest noise sites, and highlight
the ranges of spectral parameters where each type of seis-
mic signals falls. LF events and VT earthquakes are always
separated and the separation increases for increasing signal-
to-noise ratio, therefore they are easily distinguishable. On
the contrary, unclassified events show an almost random dis-
tribution in the plot, which makes very difficult or impossi-

Figure 6. Average shape factor vs central frequency evaluated for
FAL2 (a) and SVT2 (b) stations located in the most densely popu-
lated sites. LF events, unclassified events and VT events are shown
with red circles, blue diamonds and yellow squares respectively.
The symbol size represents the maximum amplitude of the observed
signals. The presented results are obtained by averaging on the three
components of motion.

Figure 7. Spectral parameters results obtained for BKSG station.
Red and yellow background areas identify the two sectors where
LF and VT events are characterized by a high signal-to-noise ratio
(S/N in the figure). Green background color shows the area where
the waveforms are characterized by low signal-to-noise ratio.
able to distinguish them from LF and VT events. The present work has shown encouraging results for discriminating different categories of seismic events. The analysis of events occurred in the past yields the “best range” of values for any seismic stations corresponding to the various types of events. When a new seismic event is detected, the spectral parameters can be computed very quickly, and the comparison of their values with those of known events can help the observatory analysts in the classification of events with unclear features. Therefore, this application could be useful for surveillance purposes by providing a fast aid to inexpert operators for a preliminary classification of seismic events.
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